

Assessment framework: UAS Bachelor's thesis 2022

Assessment criterion	Excellent (5)	Good (3-4)	Satisfactory (1–2)	Failed
	The student is able to:	The student is able to:	The student is able to:	In the thesis:
Description of the development assignment and definition of aims	present clear and diverse arguments for the topical subject which is related to their own professional field and working life	present clear arguments for the topical subject which is related to their own professional field and working life	present arguments for the topical subject which is related to their own professional field and working life, although the arguments are limited	no arguments are presented for the subject from the perspective of the professional field
	precisely define the aims, purpose, research questions or development tasks of the thesis	define the aims, purpose, research questions or development tasks of the thesis	define the aims, purpose, research questions or development tasks of the thesis, but the aims are not consistent	the aims, purpose, research questions or development tasks have not been defined
Knowledge base	apply a research and scientific basis in accordance with the study field's practices critically and diversely in their thesis	apply a research and scientific basis in accordance with the study field's practices diversely in their thesis	follow a research and scientific basis in accordance with the study field's practices in their thesis	the knowledge base is not appropriate relative to the aim and purpose
	utilise an up-to-date, international and methodical knowledge base	utilise an international and methodical knowledge base	utilise a methodical knowledge base	the knowledge base does not follow a research and scientific basis in accordance with the study field's practices
Selection of methods and implementation	critically select and apply appropriate ways of working and methods and justify their choices using the source material	select and apply appropriate ways of working and methods and describe their choice of approach using the source material	select appropriate ways of working and methods, but the arguments are limited	the selected ways of working and methods are not appropriate and the arguments are lacking
Outcomes of the work	produce innovative and modern solutions for their professional field and the labour market	produce appropriate solutions for their professional field and the labour market	produce solutions for their professional field and the labour market	solutions are unclear or they are not derived from the research set-up



	produce a solution (product) that consistently corresponds to the purpose and aims of the work present the outcomes illustratively and reliably and contemplate their relationship and importance relative to previous researched information	 produce a solution (product) that corresponds to the purpose and aims of the work present the outcomes illustratively and reliably 	 produce a solution (product), but it only partially corresponds to the purpose and aims of the work present the outcomes to a limited extent 	
Ethics	work consciously and responsibly in accordance with research and development and professional ethics principles	work diligently in accordance with research and development and professional ethics principles	work in accordance with research and development and professional ethics principles	the work has not been performed in accordance with research and development and professional ethics principles
Work process as a whole, responsibility for one's own process. Reliability	work responsibly in cooperation with others, adhering to any agreed issues and timetables assess the reliability of the work, ethics and the thesis process critically and diversely	 work in cooperation with others, adhering to any agreed issues and timetables assess the reliability of the work, ethics and the thesis process 	work in adherence to any agreed issues and timetables assess the reliability of the work, ethics and the thesis process to a limited extent	the work is not responsible and agreed issues and timetables were not adhered to the reliability of the work, ethics and the thesis process were not assessed
Structure and language of the report	prepare a report that, as a whole, displays consistent and well-argued communication: the structure and form correspond to the purpose and aims of the work and the selected ways of working and methods	prepare a report that, as a whole, displays coherent communication: the structure and form correspond to the purpose and aims of the work and the selected ways of working and methods	prepare a report, but as a whole, its communication is inconsistent: the structure and form do not in all respects correspond to the purpose and aims of the work and the selected ways of working and methods	the work does not comply with the guidelines for writing a thesis report the work contains lots of linguistic and stylistic errors source markings and references are lacking the information about the thesis and its results is limited
	use correct formal language with good argumentation and insight in accordance with the professional field's textual norms	use well-construed formal language in accordance with the textual norms of the professional field, but the text contains occasional linguistic and stylistic errors	use well-construed formal language in accordance with the textual norms of the professional field, but the text contains recurring linguistic and stylistic errors	



record sources and references reliably and flawlessly and to reference sources diversely in accordance with the guidelines for writing theses	record sources and references almost flawlessly in accordance with the guidelines for writing theses	record sources and references in accordance with the guidelines for writing theses but some records must be corrected	
communicate information about their thesis and its results diversely and convincingly as an expert	communicate information about their thesis and its results clearly as an expert	communicate information about their thesis and its results as an expert, but the communication is limited	

Weighting may vary depending on the nature of the work. The thesis is assessed using a qualitative total assessment in accordance with the competence-based assessment criteria. If necessary, the assessment framework may be supplemented with field-specific details.

Work group: Jukka Kesänen (chair), Kaija Kekäläinen, Jyrki Kullaa, Annaleena Lahtinen, Iira Lankinen, Marjo-Riitta Näyhö, Jouni Ruotsalainen, Kristiina Suihko, Sanna Törnroos, Jukka Väisänen, Arto Yli-Pentti

Approved by Heidi Rontu, Director of Lifelong Learning, on 4 October 2022