Metropolia

Work group: Kauko Ojanen, Jukka Kesänen, Jukka Törnroos, Kari Salo, Thomas Rohweder, Katri Myllylä, Hellevi Hakala, Marjo-Riitta Näyhö, Pertti Ylhäinen, Tiina Soininen, Maarika Kortelainen, Timo Hynynen, Tuulia Aarnio.

Approved by Tapani Martti, Director, Education, on 12 December 2019

Metropolia University of Applied Sciences Framework for evaluation: Final project (Bachelor's)

Targets of assessment	 Professional competence and development significance for the profession and working life utilisation of professional knowledge development and self-development of professional competence applicability in professional life and working life 	 The methods and work procedures used, and results obtained definition of purpose, targets and research or development items methodological competence description of outcomes and product progress and management of the final project process reliability ethics 	 Communication and presentation structure and form fluency of language critical, analytical and reflective nature of communication argumentation and referencing
Level of competence	The student can	The student can	The student can
Excellent 5	 plan and scope the subject matter extremely well select a subject that is extremely significant, topical and demanding in the profession and working life use their professional knowledge base excellently for the purposes of the final project develop professional expertise in their field excellently create in the final project excellent new and significant solutions in the field and working life 	 define excellently the purpose, targets and research or development items of the final project apply work procedures and methods excellently combine excellently the chosen procedures and working methods with regard to the final project targets report excellently on the applicability of the results/product with regard to other situations and environments work excellently in accordance with research and development and professional ethics principles assess excellently the work's reliability, ethical aspects and the process work extremely responsibly, independently and in cooperation with others, adhering to any agreed issues and timetables 	 produce an excellently final project, the structure and form of which are in line with its purpose, targets and research or development items use excellently the appropriate professional language in the final project communicate excellently about the final project and its results to the target groups provide excellent grounds for and an assessment of the professional actions, solutions and results apply evidence-based source material excellently the instructions regarding referencing
Good 4–3	 plan and scope the subject matter well select a subject that is quite significant, topical and demanding in the profession and working life 	 define well the purpose, targets and research or development items of the final project apply work procedures and methods well 	 produce a good final project, the structure and form of which are in line with its purpose, targets and research or development items



Work group: Kauko Ojanen, Jukka Kesänen, Jukka Törnroos, Kari Salo, Thomas Rohweder, Katri Myllylä, Hellevi Hakala, Marjo-Riitta Näyhö, Pertti Ylhäinen, Tiina Soininen, Maarika Kortelainen, Timo Hynynen, Tuulia Aarnio.

Approved by Tapani Martti, Director, Education, on 12 December 2019

	 use their professional knowledge base well for the purposes of the final project develop professional expertise in their field well create in the final project good new and significant solutions in the field and working life 	 combine well the chosen procedures and working methods with regard to the final project targets report the results/product well report well on the applicability of the results/product with regard to other situations and environments work well in accordance with research and development and professional ethics principles assess well the work's reliability, ethical aspects and the process work very responsibly, independently and in cooperation with others, adhering to any agreed issues and timetables 	 use well the appropriate professional language in the final project communicate well about the final project and its results to the target groups provide good grounds for and an assessment of the professional actions, solutions and results apply evidence-based source material well follows well the instructions regarding referencing
Satisfactory 2–1 or pass	 plan and scope the subject matter satisfactorily select a subject that is somewhat significant and topical in the profession and working life use their professional knowledge base satisfactorily for the purposes of the final project develop professional expertise in their field satisfactorily create in the final project satisfactory new and significant solutions in the field and working life 	 define satisfactorily the purpose, targets and research or development items of the final project apply work procedures and methods satisfactorily combine satisfactorily the chosen procedures and working methods with regard to the final project targets report the results/product satisfactorily report satisfactorily on the applicability of the results/product with regard to other situations and environments work satisfactorily in accordance with research and development and professional ethics principles assess satisfactorily the work's reliability, ethical aspects and the process work reasonably responsibly, independently and in cooperation with others, adhering to any agreed issues and timetables 	 produce a satisfactory final project, the structure and form of which are in line with its purpose, targets and research or development items use satisfactorily the appropriate professional language in the final project communicate satisfactorily about the final project and its results to the target groups provide satisfactory grounds for and an assessment of the professional actions, solutions and results apply evidence-based source material satisfactorily the instructions regarding referencing

Metropolia

Work group: Kauko Ojanen, Jukka Kesänen, Jukka Törnroos, Kari Salo, Thomas Rohweder, Katri Myllylä, Hellevi Hakala, Marjo-Riitta Näyhö, Pertti Ylhäinen, Tiina Soininen, Maarika Kortelainen, Timo Hynynen, Tuulia Aarnio.

Approved by Tapani Martti, Director, Education, on 12 December 2019

Fail	 planning and scoping the subject matter is insufficient the subject is not extensive enough in the profession and working life the professional knowledge base is insufficient as the basis of the final project develop insufficiently professional expertise in their field the final project does not create enough new and significant solutions in the field and working life 	 the purpose, targets and research or development items of the final project are not defined well enough the work procedures and methods are not applied well enough the chosen procedures and working methods are not well enough combined with regard to the final project targets the results/product are not reported well enough reporting on the applicability of the results/product with regard to other situations and environments is insufficient work is insufficient in terms of the research and development and professional ethics principles the work's reliability, ethical aspects and the process are insufficient work does not meet the requirements in terms of cooperation with others or adherence to any agreed issues and timetables 	 the final project's structure and form are insufficient in terms of its purpose, targets and research or development items the final project's professional language is insufficient communication about the final project and its results to the target groups is insufficient insufficient grounds are provided on the professional actions, solutions and results evidence-based source material is insufficiently applied instructions regarding referencing are followed insufficiently
------	--	---	---